

This is a section from the

2023/2024 New Jersey Commercial Tree Fruit Production Guide

The recommendations are **NOT** for home gardener use.

The **full guide** can be found on the Rutgers New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station (NJAES) website at: https://njaes.rutgers.edu/pubs/publication.php?pid=e002. The guide is revised biennially.

Cooperating Agencies: Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and New Jersey Board of County Commissioners. Rutgers Cooperative Extension, a unit of the Rutgers New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, is an equal opportunity program provider and employer.

PESTICIDE USE DISCLAIMER

THE LABEL IS THE LAW

A pesticide applicator is legally bound by the labeling found on and with the pesticide container in their possession. Before using a pesticide, check and always follow the <u>labeling distributed with the product</u> at the point of sale for legally enforceable rates and restrictions.

In addition to the pesticide products listed in this Production Guide, other formulations or brands with the same active ingredient(s) may be commercially available.

ALWAYS CHECK THE LABELING ON THE PRODUCT CONTAINER ITSELF:

- a) to ensure a pesticide is labeled for the same use,
- b) to ensure the pesticide is labeled for the desired crop,
- c) for differences in rates and percent active ingredient, and
- d) additional restrictions.

Check the physical product label for the maximum amount of pesticide per application and the maximum number of applications per year.

IMPORTANT: DO NOT RELY ON ELECTRONIC LABELING (unless it is "web labeling" found directly on the product container). *Online pesticide* labels may not be the same as the labeling distributed with the product. Some services include: Proagrica's CDMS http://www.cdms.net/; Agworld DBX powered by Greenbook https://www.agrian.com/labelcenter/results.cfm.

These electronic label services provide use disclaimers, and in some cases legally binding User Agreements assigning ALL liability to USER of service. For example, Agrian's webpages* cite (in red): The material and content contained in the Agrian Label Database is for general information only. Agrian Inc. does not provide any guarantee or assurance that the information obtained through this service is accurate, current, or correct, and is therefore not liable for any loss resulting, directly or indirectly, from reliance upon this service. This Label Database does not replace the official manufacturer issued label. Users of this database must read and follow the actual product label affixed to the container before use of the product. Use of the Label Database is subject to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy * [date accessed: 12/23/2022].

See a detailed regulatory discussion of this and other essential information on Pesticide Safety and the Pesticide Label in Chapter 1. Electronic labeling is discussed in section 1.3.1.

If you are having a medical emergency after using pesticides, always call 911 immediately.



In Case of an Accident

- Remove the person from exposure
- Get away from the treated or contaminated area immediately
- Remove contaminated clothing
- Wash with soap and clean water
- Call a physician and/or the National Poison Control Center (1-800-222-1222).
 Your call will be routed to your
- Have the pesticide label with you!
- Be prepared to give the <u>EPA registration number</u> to the responding center/agency

State Poison Control Center.

4 Orchard Frost Protection

4.1 Monitoring for Active Frost Protection

The economic sustainability of an orchard requires maintaining the annual production of fruit at an optimal level. Frost events, specifically during the early spring flower bud development, can cause crop loss which could vary from minor loss of quality and quantity to a total crop loss. In recent years, frost events that result in damaged blossoms have been occurring more frequently. These events have been driven by warmer spring temperatures that are pushing bud growth to earlier in the spring, increasing the chance that blossoms are already present during a spring frost (NOAA Technical Report, 2012). While sufficient information on frost protection (FP) methods is available, new challenges such as insufficient labor, increased labor costs, environmental regulations, high-density orchards, and newer technologies strongly support revisiting this topic.

There are two types of FP methods: (1) *Active*, which are employed at the time of frost events and work to either prevent or reduce the loss of thermal energy from plant tissues, and (2) *Passive*, which are generally cultural practices such as site and cultivar selection, orchard floor management, and manipulation of orchard nutrition, which are performed substantially before frost events. This guide focuses on *Active* FP methods. The success of any FP method will depend on an understanding of its working principles and the combination of environmental factors necessitating its use.

Active FP methods are costly, and only highly effective when used properly. Frost damage occurs when plant tissues are exposed to subfreezing temperatures (Figure 4.1). However, it is the co-occurrence of other factors that determines the extent of the damage. The following plant and environmental conditions should be monitored. Thorough knowledge of the prevailing conditions in the orchard will help determine which FP method to employ and for how long.





Figure 4.1 Frost Damage in Apple and Peach Bloom

Frost damage in apple following the freeze event in 2010 in PA (Left panel photo by R. Crassweller), and frost damage in peach bloom following the freeze in 2016 in NJ (Right panel photo by H. Gohil).

Bud Stage

Regular monitoring of the bud development stage, starting from bud swell, will help in determining the actual threat of frost damage. Critical temperatures have been researched and calculated for each bud development stage. For example, in an apple orchard, at 24°F, one can expect up to 90% crop loss at the "first pink" stage, but only 10% loss at the "green tip" stage. Critical spring temperatures for tree fruit bud stages for some of the most important pome and stone fruit crops are listed in Table 4.1. It is important to note that the critical temperature for damage at a particular bud stage may vary by 4 or 5°F depending on temperatures during the previous few days before the cold event. Therefore, growers should use caution when attempting to use these published critical temperatures for active frost protection methods.

ORCHARD FROST PROTECTION

Table 4.1 Critical Spring Temperatures (°F) for Tree Fruit Bud Stages

(compiled by Mark Longstroth, MSU Extension)

Pome Fruit									
Apples	Silver Tip	Green Tip	½ Inch Green	Tight Cluster	First Pink	Full Pink	First Bloom	Full Bloom	Post Bloom
Old temp	16	16	22	27	27	28	28	29	29
10% kill	15	18	23	27	28	28	28	28	28
222/11/1	_	4.0	4.5	24	2.4	25	2.5	2.5	2.5
90% kill	2	10	15	21	24	25	25	25	25
90% kill	Bud	Bud	15	Tight	First	Full	First	Full	Post
			15			1	1	_	
Pears	Bud	Bud	15	Tight	First	Full	First	Full	Post
	Bud Swell	Bud Burst	15	Tight Cluster	First White	Full White	First Bloom	Full Bloom	Post Bloom

						Stone	Fruit	t						
Apricots	Bud	Bud		Red		First		First		Ful	I	In	the	Green
•	Swell	Burst		Tip		White	е	Bloc	m	Blo	om	Sł	nuck	Fruit
Old temp		23		25		25			28				31	
10% kill	15	20		22 2		24		25		27	27		28	
90% kill		0		9		14		19		22		24	1	25
Peaches	Bud	Calyx		Calyx				First		Firs	st	Fι	ıll	Post
	Swell	Green		Red				Pink	:	Blo	om	ы	oom	Bloom
Old temp	23							25				27	7	30
10% kill	18	21		23				25		26		27	7	28
90% kill	1	5		9				15		21		24	1	25
European	Bud	Side		Tip		Tight		First	:	Firs	st	Fι	ıll	Post
Plums	Swell	White		Green		Clust	er	Whi	te Blo		om	ы	oom	Bloom
Old temp								23	23 27			27	7	30
10% kill	14	17		20		24		26		27		28	3	28
90% kill	0	3		7		16		22		23		23	3	23
	•	•	,			1								•
Sweet	Bud	Side	Gr	een	Tigh	nt	Oper)	First		First		Full	Post
Cherries	Swell	Green	Tip)	Clus	ster	Clust	er	White		Bloom		Bloom	Bloom
Old temp	23	23	25		28		28		29		29		29	30
10% kill	17	22	25		26		27		27 28			28	28	
90% kill	5	9	14		17		21		24 25		Ì	25	25	
	•	•					•		•					•
Tart	Bud	Side	Gr	een	Tigh	nt	Oper)	First		First		Full	
Cherries	Swell	Green	Tip)	Clus	ster	Clust	er	White		Bloom		Bloom	
10% kill	15	24	26		26		28		28		28		28	
90% kill	0	10	22		24		24				24		24	

Old standard temperature (Old temp) is the lowest temperature that can be endured for 30 minutes without damage, or critical temperatures as previously published. This chart also shows the average temperature that will kill 10% and 90% of normal fruit buds. These numbers were taken from Washington State University (WSU), and Michigan State University (MSU) Extension Bulletins: Apple - WSU EB0913, Pears - WSU EB0978, Cherries - WSU EB1128, Peaches - WSU EB0914, Apricots - WSU EB1240, Concord Grapes - WSU EB1615, Tart Cherries - MSU Research. Rpt. 220.

Type of Frost Event

There are two types of frost: <u>advective</u> and <u>radiative</u> frost. Advective frost occurs when a large mass of cold air moves in from another region and replaces warmer air such as during a polar vortex. During such events, there is a rapid movement of cold air to replace warmer air, coupled with high winds, low humidity, and generally the absence of an inversion layer, often creating the potential for a prolonged event. Active FP methods will generally be ineffective during an advective frost. Conversely, radiative or radiational frost occurs when there is a rapid loss of heat from the ground, usually with a cloudless open sky, dry air, and little wind, which leads to the likely formation of an inversion layer. This frost is more manageable with FP methods.

Inversion Layer

An inversion occurs when a layer of the atmosphere higher in altitude is warmer than the atmosphere lower or close to the ground (Figure 4.2). This is a reversal of normal temperature behavior. A strong inversion layer can be a source of relatively warm air that is above the colder air, and trapping the colder air close to the ground in the tree canopy. For this reason, inversions need to be closely monitored.

Figure 4.2 Strong Inversion Layer over a Field in Pennsylvania (Photo by R. Crassweller)



Air Temperature

Frost can occur when the ambient temperature dips below freezing (32°F). The weather forecast will indicate the lowest possible temperature, but the hourly rate of falling temperatures should also be taken into account. The temperature experienced by trees, however, will not necessarily be the same as the ambient temperature. To measure the temperature of the flower buds, farmers must take into account the cooling effect of evaporation of the moisture on the trees which is referred to as the wet-bulb temperature. The wet-bulb temperature is the lowest temperature experienced at the measured relative humidity (RH) of the air. Generally, the wet-bulb temperature will be a few degrees lower than the dry-bulb temperature except at 100% RH. Table 4.2 provides an example of determining the wet bulb temperature, without a wet-bulb thermometer, using the ambient temperature and the dew point temperature.

Hand-held and digital psychrometers can be used in the field to determine the wet-bulb temperature. Knox et al. (2017) at the University of Georgia showed that wet bulb temperature can be simply derived by "subtracting one third the difference between ambient temperature and dew point, from the ambient temperature." Modern frost alarms measure wet bulb temperature, and can directly transmit real-time data to the user's mobile phone or computer.

Relative Humidity (RH)

The RH is often overlooked in orchard frost management. However, it plays a significant role in determining the extent of frost damage. As RH increases, moisture condenses near sensitive flower parts which at subfreezing temperatures begin to form ice crystals. According to Levitt (1980), it is likely that freeze injury results from ice forming outside the cell wall but inside the plant tissue (Figure 4.3). Higher RH can cause greater frost damage compared to low RH, for the same freezing temperature.

ORCHARD FROST PROTECTION

Figure 4.3 Damaged and Undamaged Pistils after a Frost Event

Damaged pistil in closed flower (left) and open flower (middle), and undamaged pistil in open flower (right) following a frost event in a peach orchard in New Jersey (Photo by H. Gohil).



Table 4.2 Determination of Wet Bulb Temperature (°F) Using Ambient and Dew Point Temperatures (Courtesy, Don Smith, Penn State University). Wet Bulb Temperature (°F) as determined by Ambient Temperature (first row) and Dew Point Temperature (first column)

		Ambient Temperature (°F)									
		23.0	24.0	25.0	26.0	27.0	28.0	29.0			
	29.0							29.0			
	28.0						28.0	29.7			
	27.0					27.0	28.7	30.4			
	26.0				26.0	27.6	29.3	31.0			
	25.0			25.0	26.5	28.3	29.9	31.6			
	24.0		24.0	25.5	27.1	28.8	30.5	32.2			
Dew Point Temperature (°F)	23.0	23.0	24.5	26.0	27.7	29.4	31.1	32.8			
ē	22.0	23.5	25.0	26.6	28.3	30.0	31.6	33.3			
atu	21.0	24.0	25.6	27.2	28.8	30.5	32.1	33.8			
per	20.0	24.5	26.2	27.7	29.3	31.0	32.6	34.3			
em	19.0	25.1	26.7	28.2	29.8	31.4	33.1	34.8			
t T	18.0	25.6	27.1	28.6	30.2	31.9	33.5	35.2			
oin	17.0	26.0	27.4	29.0	30.7	32.3	34.0	35.7			
ν Δ	16.0	26.4	27.9	29.4	31.1	32.7	34.4	36.2			
De,	15.0	26.8	28.3	29.9	31.5	33.1	34.8	36.5			
	14.0	27.2	28.7	30.2	31.9	33.5	35.2	36.9			
	13.0	27.5	29.0	30.6	32.2	33.9	35.5	37.2			
	12.0	27.8	29.3	30.9	32.6	34.2	35.9	37.6			
	11.0	28.2	29.7	31.2	32.9	34.5	36.2	37.9			
	10.0	28.7	30.0	31.6	33.2	34.8	36.5	38.2			
	9.0	29.0	30.3	31.9	33.5	35.1	36.8	38.5			

Dew Point

Dew point is commonly reported as the atmospheric temperature below which water droplets begin to condense, forming dew or fog. At low temperatures, the moisture in the air transitions from a gas to a liquid, as air temperature reaches the dew point. A higher dew point is associated with relatively slow drops in temperature and vice versa. A lower dew point also generally means surface moisture will evaporate quicker.

Cloud Coverage

Clear skies normally result in lower temperatures than when there is cloud cover trapping radiant energy from the ground.

Wind Speed

Wind is a major determinant of the rate of loss of thermal energy. Almost all FP methods will have reduced efficiency at wind speeds above 10 mph, and are therefore not recommended when winds are above 10 mph.

Effective FP requires weather stations and sensors for each of the fields you are seeking to monitor and protect. Data from nearby weather stations may not always be reliable. On-farm weather stations are the most accurate source of weather data and should be linked with a frost alarm. This alarm will sound based on the wet temperature and should be precise down to 0.1°F. To ensure the sensor is putting out an accurate reading, never install a temperature sensor or weather station next to a building or body of water. Ensure the sensor is no higher off the ground than the lowest flower bud. Sensors should be placed in contact with or close to buds or leaves of the tree.

If there are significant slopes in your orchard, consider investing in two temperature monitoring devices, monitoring both the lowest and highest points in the orchard. Examples of these instruments and their sources are provided in Table 4.3. The extension bulletin by Bayer *et al.* (2017) provides information on the establishment and use of weather stations to monitor all of the above indicators of a frost.

Table 4.3 Frost Protection Instruments

Instrument	Trade name	Manufacturer/Contact Information			
	Frost Alarm	Agro Frost, 1 800-634-5557 https://www.oescoinc.com/			
Frost Alarm	Watch Dog Color	Spectrum Technologies, 1 800-248-8873 https://www.specmeters.com/			
	HOBO Rx 3000	Onset, 1-800-564-4377 https://www.onsetcomp.com/			
	Ag Heat Propane Heater	Ag Heat Inc., 1 541-490-1928 or 1 541-400-4875 http://www.agheat.com/			
Heater	Frost Dragons	Dynamic Dezigns, 1 800-567-8264 http://www.dynamicdezigns.com/frostcontrol.html			
	Frost Busters	Agro Frost, 1 800-634-5557 https://www.oescoinc.com/			
	Wind Machine	Orchard Rite, 1 616-971-8177 https://orchard-rite.com/			
Wind Machine	Tow and Blow	Vailmont Vineyards, 1 905-563-2880 https://www.vailmontvineyards.com/ https://www.towandblow.co.nz/			
	AcuRite	AcuRite https://www.acurite.com/ Online chat support: https://support.acurite.com/hc/en-us			
Weather Station	Ambient Weather WS Series	Ambient Weather, 1 480-346-3380 or support@AmbientWeather.com https://ambientweather.com/			
	Vantage Pro	Davis Instruments, 1 510-732-7814 https://www.davisinstruments.com/			
	Zentra Systems	Meter Environment, 1 509-332-5601 https://www.metergroup.com/			
	AgroMET-MB MK-III	RainWise Inc., 1 800- 762-5723 https://www.rainwise.com/			

4.2 Active Frost Protection Methods

Active frost protection (FP) methods are mainly of three types: irrigation, heat application, and mixing of the air. In recent years, some FP methods using chemicals have been developed.

4.2.1 Irrigation

Overhead Irrigation

Overhead irrigation is one of the most effective of all FP methods, specifically for orchard crops. Water is applied over plant tissues, and at sub-freezing temperatures turns into a layer of ice (Figure 4.4). This layer prevents the rapid cooling of plant tissue; at the same time, heat is given off as the water freezes during the phase change from liquid to ice, maintaining the temperature at the freezing point. However, to compensate for the loss of thermal energy due to radiation, evaporation, and convection, water has to be continuously applied to continually refreeze which generates additional heat (Figure 4.5). At wind speeds between 5-10 mph, the effectiveness of overhead irrigation will be reduced, resulting in a need to increase the rate of application. Once all of the ice has melted off of the trees and wires, which is the indication that the temperature is above freezing, the irrigation system can be shut off.

Figure 4.4 Frost Protection Using an Overhead Irrigation System in the High Density Apple Orchard in PA (Photo by R. Crassweller).

Pros: Overhead irrigation doesn't produce noise or air pollution as compared to other methods, and it can also be used for summer irrigation. This method will provide FP down to 22°F with no wind or 24-25°F with a slight wind (Longstroth, 2012). Very few methods can achieve a level of FP to this temperature. This system has low energy consumption when compared to other methods, however, one needs to consider the energy used to pump the water



from its source. Operational costs per acre for overhead irrigation are low compared to other methods.

Cons: This system requires a substantial amount of water. For example, in an apple orchard setting, about 5,400 gallons of water per acre per hour is required during a frost event (Hannan, 2019). However, research has shown that water applications can be cycled on and off resulting in a reduction of water use by 1/3 (Heinemann *et al.*, 1992; Heisey *et al.*, 1994). The development of ice on sprinkler heads must be monitored when temperatures go below 23°F. It may be necessary to use a metal or wooden rod to strike individual nozzles to shatter any ice buildup on younger trees, as the weight of the ice can break scaffolding branches. High installation costs may be incurred specifically if the water source is not adjacent to the block being treated.

Once operating, the system cannot be turned off and must be continuously fed with water. Turning off the system during a frost can cause significantly more damage. It requires a certain degree of skill to maintain the layer of ice, preventing air mixing with water seen as clouded ice, and to avoid applying excessive water by cycling the water on and off to reduce the amount of water applied (Heinemann *et al.*, 1992).

Caution: The discharge capacity of the water pump and capacity of the well or pond source should be considered for irrigation and FP well before planting a new orchard. Valves must be installed on all lines to ensure lines can be emptied. Irrigation will cause evaporative cooling; hence wet bulb temperature should be considered before turning on the system. Overhead sprinklers should not be turned on when the wind speed is more than 10 mph. At temperatures below 23°F, ice can develop over impact heads, and the head can get stuck in one direction, wasting much of the water and minimizing its protective coverage. Regular monitoring is needed to make sure the system is running continuously and effectively. Experience suggests that metal impact heads can freeze just as easily as plastic heads. The main advantage of metalheads is they are less likely to break. However, metalheads are more expensive than plastic heads.

One way to address the challenge of needing a substantial amount of water is to use **overhead microsprinklers**. This method targets mostly the canopy, and as a result, one has better control over the coverage. This system uses about 35-40% less water per acre, and the savings in energy costs are roughly half of overhead irrigation (Rieger, et. al, 1990). However, the installation cost could be higher than the overhead impact sprinklers. This system requires a trellis to support half-inch polyethylene laterals. However, since new high-density orchard plantings already use trellising for training young trees, these can also be used to support the installed micro-sprinklers.



Figure 4.5 Example of Continuous Ice
Formation over Apple Tree Using Overhead
Irrigation Clear ice and non-cloudy layer
indicate successful FP (Photo by R. Crassweller).

Under-Tree Sprinkler Systems

Under-tree sprinkler systems work on the same principle as overhead systems, but with a reduced scope of coverage since they only cover the lower canopy and soil underneath the tree (Figure 4.6). In addition, under-tree sprinkler irrigation may also be used to increase heat transfer from the ground to the air if used according to the same principles as outlined for drip irrigation (see below). If used also for irrigation, it becomes cost-effective.

Most systems use small (5/64" – 3/32") low trajectory sprinkler heads operating at 40-50 psi. There are main hoses on each side of the tree, and each micro-sprinkler has a little tube inserted into that hose. Applications range from 0.08-0.12 inch/hr. An application of water at 69.8°F has been used in Mexico and WA using a large boiler located at the side of the field. This additional cost to heat the water has to be added to the cost-effectiveness equation of this system. This under-tree system may be preferred over another irrigation delivery system when well or pond capacity is limited. It uses much less water compared to the overhead system but with lower effectiveness, particularly for mature trees.



Figure 4.6 Frost Protection Using Under-Tree Sprinkler Irrigation in Peach Orchard in DE (Photo by B. Fifer, Fifer Orchard).

Drip Irrigation

If soil is irrigated to field capacity (maximum water holding capacity of that soil), it can store as much heat in the orchard soil as possible during the day and increase the loss of radiative heat during the night. In some soils, it may take more time to "top up" the soil profile. Drip irrigation, in combination with a weed-free strip under a tree, raised the temperature of the orchard floor by 3-5°F (Snyder and de Melo-Abreu, 2005). It may require more than 8-10 hours of irrigation for heavier soils to reach field capacity. Again, this approach should be avoided if the wind speed is forecasted to be more than 10 mph.

4.2.2 Heat Application

During sub-freezing temperature events, additional heat can be supplied to the orchard through burning propane or natural gas. The introduced heat replaces that lost by radiation and wind. However, this can be highly inefficient as the heat created can be lost to the sky, especially if the orchard area is large. Oil burning orchard heaters also called Smudge pots are cheaper than permanently installed systems. A permanent heating system requires laying underground pipes which must handle a specific maximum pressure in case there is a failure at the tank. The heat from the burned fuel keeps the surrounding air warmer by up to 3-5°F depending on the wind speed. At wind speeds greater than 10 mph, the rate of heat dissipation is greater than heat addition. Considering installation and fuel costs, it is a very expensive system unless you are a retail orchard grower located in a high-value market area.

Mobile heaters

Mobile heaters have propane tanks, a heater, and vents that are pulled through the orchard by a tractor. The moving tractor applies warmer air through the vents to the tree canopy area (Figure 4.7). After the tractor passes, the warm air may mix with the cooler air, moving away from the tree. Fortunately, there is no need for immediate reheating, as the deposition of ice crystals does not kill the plant tissue if warm air is applied before the ice crystals begin to freeze plant tissues. The machines trade named 'Frost Buster' and 'Frost Dragons' (Table 4.3) use propane burners and can effectively protect 5-6 acres at temperatures down to 23°F, or a larger area at higher temperatures.

Using a mobile heater in a traditionally-spaced peach orchard, you can drive down every other row, while in a high-density apple orchard it can cover multiple rows. Hot air is dispersed 150-200 feet evenly in both directions.



Figure 4.7 Frost Protection Using a Mobile Propane Heater (Photo by R. Crassweller).

Growers must make a carefully timed loop to prevent overheating or cooling the orchard. If returning to the same trees too soon or if the heater vents are too close to the flower buds, the system may scorch the buds. These machines could cost upwards of \$25,000 per unit. Examples of these instruments and their sources are provided in Table 4.3.

Pros: In a situation where water is scarce, heat application may be the only option for FP. If done correctly, it can be effective down to 23°F. These mobile units may be less expensive than an in-ground permanent heating system, affording the flexibility of moving heat application units within and to different orchard blocks.

Cons: Cost-effectiveness of all heater-based methods depends on the current market price of fuel. Having large propane tanks on the back of the tractor could be dangerous. However, newer designs are much safer, easier to operate, and can be operated for a longer period of time. In hilly terrain that requires slower tractor speeds, using this method would decrease the potential size of the protected area.

Caution: If using this method, one must place thermometers throughout the orchard and carefully note the affected temperature changes. There may be pockets within the orchard that require more FP than others. Growers have commented that the most common cause of a mobile heating machine not being effective is because it was started earlier than needed and ran out of propane before dawn, or due to the loss of pressure when all tanks aboard the tractor have less than 50% fuel left (M. Boyer, personal communication). The machine can be unwieldy, and safe operation depends on good driving conditions in the orchard. In any case, depending on the length of the row and the shape of the orchard, the grower should return to the starting spot within 10 minutes, before the applied heat is lost due to dissipation.

4.2.3 Mixing Air

A large mass of relatively warmer air may be available when an inversion layer forms above the orchard. That air can be mixed to prevent cold air stratification and to bring the temperature in the tree canopy area above the critical temperature.

Wind machines

Wind machines are designed to do just that and are becoming more popular (Figure 4.8). Wind machines can also be used if there is no inversion layer, though they may not be as effective. The machines can be equipped with a controller which can automatically turn them on or off at a designated temperature. Also, connecting the frost alarm to these wind machines can help in reducing excess hours of electricity use and noise. Temperature probes should be placed strategically throughout the orchard to reflect the overall ambient temperature in the flower zone area. A single machine can be effective for up to 10 acres, but total coverage will depend on the orchard site and the size of the machine (Ballard and Proebsting, 1972).

Overlapping coverage areas also assures much better coverage - in a 70-acre orchard, one may need 10 machines instead of 7. Natural or predominant wind direction should be considered when designing and placing wind machines in your orchard. Examples of these instruments and their suppliers are provided in Table 4.3.

Pros: Though the installation cost is very high, the operating cost can be quite low. This method requires much less labor and uses significantly less fuel than other methods, and the investment has a relatively long lifespan.

Cons: These machines are very large (27-30 feet tall) and can be quite loud. They are less effective in the absence of a strong inversion layer and work best when trying to maintain a determined temperature rather than heating the orchard. Wind machines can cost up to \$40,000 depending on the accessories.

Figure 4.8 Wind Machine in Apple Block of Gardenhour Orchards in Maryland (Photo by R. Crassweller).



Portable Wind Machines

Portable wind machines have some applications in small specific locations. In a rolling terrain within orchards, the strategic placement of a portable wind machine can alleviate cold air accumulation in low spots. The fan operates from the PTO (Power Take-off) shaft of a tractor, preventing cold air from accumulating (Table 4.3).

Pros: These portable wind machines are highly effective as a site-specific method for dips or lower elevations within an orchard and are less expensive than a large wind machine. **Cons**: They are only useful in a limited site and require a running tractor with an engaged PTO throughout the frost event.

Helicopters

Helicopters can also be used for the same air mixing purpose and work on the same principle as a wind machine (Figure 4.9). It is advised to use helicopters only if there is an inversion layer. One helicopter can be used to protect up to 30 acres when the temperature is about 28°F, which can raise the orchard temperature by 4°F a minute after its pass (B. Fifer, personal communication). It should pass over the entire area to be protected every 6-7 min to prevent cold air stratification (Snyder, 2002). Strategically placed lights surrounding the orchard at night can help the pilot determine the boundaries of the orchard. Operating costs for this method are very high, as renting a helicopter can cost around \$1500/hr. However, considering the area covered (30 acres) and the duration of operation (4 hours), it can cost around \$200/acre, which can be very cost-effective when compared to other methods. For the best effectiveness, the ground crew should communicate to the pilot the current observed temperature, both before and after they pass.

ORCHARD FROST PROTECTION

Pros: Helicopters can cover a substantially large area in a relatively short period. While there is no installation expense, rental rates are high and availability may be limited. This method may be a good option in areas where FP is only occasionally required.

Cons: Service may not be readily available, and pilots may experience dangerous operating conditions due to poor visibility and orchard terrain. Varying degrees of success have been reported with air mixing via helicopters. If there is no inversion layer, this method is ineffective. The service provider may also ask for holding fees which sometimes are nonrefundable.



Figure 4.9 Frost Protection Using a Helicopter in Fifer Orchard, Delaware (Photo by F. Fifer)

4.2.4 Chemical Methods

In recent years, some spray formulations have become available for FP in an orchard setting, but more research is needed. For example, plant growth regulators Promalin $^{\circ}$ and Perlan $^{\circ}$, mixtures of Gibberellins A₄A₇ plus 6-BA, have been labeled for use on apple, which if applied immediately following a frost event, can help fruit set. Icenucleating bacteria, (e.g., trade name BlightBan $^{\circ}$) can be applied in a spray to trees prior to a frost, allowing ice crystals to form around them rather than plant parts. Anti-freeze formulations such as FreezePruf are also available (Francko et al., 2011). Note that caution should be used with any of these materials, since some of them are unproven technologies.

4.3 Frost Protection References

Ballard, J. K. and Proebsting, E. L. 1972. Frost and Frost Control in Washington Orchards. Cooperative Extension Service. W.S.U. Bulletin 634.

Bayer, A., van Iersel, M. and Chappell, M. 2017. What is a Weather Station and Can it Benefit Ornamental Growers? The University of Massachusetts, Center for Agriculture, Food and the Environment.

https://ag.umass.edu/landscape/fact-sheets/what-is-weather-station-can-it-benefit-ornamental-growers

Francko, D., Wilson, K., Li, Q. and Equiza, M. 2011. A Topical Spray to Enhance Plant Resistance to Cold Injury and Mortality. HortTechnology 21(1):109-118.

Hannan, J. 2019. Frost Protection for High Density Orchards. 2019. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. https://www.extension.iastate.edu/smallfarms/frost-protection-high-density-orchards

Heinemann, P., Morrow, C., Stombaugh, T., Goulart, B. and Schlegel, J 1992. Evaluation of an Automated Irrigation System for Frost Protection. Applied Engineering in Agriculture. 8(6): 779-785.

Heisey, L., Heinemann, P., Morrow C. and Crassweller, R. 1994. Automation of an Intermittent Overhead Irrigation Frost Protection System for an Apple Orchard. Applied Engineering in Agriculture 10:669-675.

Knox, J.A., Nevius, D.S. and Knox, P.N. 2017. Two Simple and Accurate Approximations for Wet-bulb Temperature in Moist Conditions with Forecasting Applications. Bulletin of American Meteorological Society. 98, 1897-1906.

Levitt, J. 1980. Responses of Plants to Environmental Stresses, Vol. 1 (2nd ed). New York NY: Academic Press. 497p. Longstroth, M. 2012. Using Sprinklers to Protect Plants from Spring Freezes.

https://www.canr.msu.edu/news/using_sprinklers_to_protect_plants_from_spring_freezes

NOAA Technical Report. 2012. Regional Climate Trends and Scenarios for the U.S. National Climate Assessment. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Rieger, M. and Stephen, C. M. 1990. Over-tree Micromprinklers Irrigation for Spring Freeze Protection of Peaches. HortScience 25(6): 632-635.

Snyder, R.L. 2002. Principles of Frost Protection. The University of California. FP005.

Snyder, R. and de Melo-Abreu, J. 2005. Frost Protection: Fundamentals, Practice, and Economics. FAO. Vol.1, Ch. 7.